UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/536,527	08/12/2005	Jerzy W. Chojnacki	02635/0202946-US0	9087
7278 DARBY & DA	7590 09/07/200 RBY P.C.	7	EXAM	IINER
P.O. BOX 770 Church Street Station New York, NY 10008-0770			FRANCIS, FAYE	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3725	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/07/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

. •	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/536,527	CHOJNACKI, JERZY W.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Faye Francis	3725			
The MAILING DATE of this comm Period for Reply	unication appears on the cover	sheet with the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE - Extensions of time may be available under the provision after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this continuous states.	MAILING DATE OF THIS CO ons of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, howe immunication. In statutory period will apply and will expire apply will, by statute, cause the application to this after the mailing date of this communica	ever, may a reply be timely filed SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. b become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s)	filed on 28 June 2007.	•			
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL .	2b) This action is non-fina	al. ·			
3) Since this application is in condition	☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the pra	ctice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> ,	935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) 2-7 is/are pending in the	application.	•			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is	, ,	ation.			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>3 and 4</u> is/are rejected.					
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2 and 5-7</u> is/are objected	I to.	•			
8) Claim(s) are subject to res	triction and/or election require	ment.			
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by	the Examiner.	•			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/a	re: a) accepted or b) obj	ected to by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any of	pjection to the drawing(s) be held	in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) includ	ing the correction is required if th	e drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected	to by the Examiner. Note the	attached Office Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a clai a) All b) Some * c) None of	• , •	U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
1. Certified copies of the prior	ity documents have been rece	ived.			
2. Certified copies of the prior	ity documents have been rece	ived in Application No			
Copies of the certified copie	es of the priority documents ha	ave been received in this National Stage			
application from the Interna	tional Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2	(a)).			
* See the attached detailed Office ac	tion for a list of the certified co	pies not received.			
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Interview Summary (PTO-413)			
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/0 		Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Application			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date		Other:			
		<u> </u>			

Application/Control Number: 10/536,527 Page 2

Art Unit: 3725

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

2. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because of the use of legal phraseology [note the word "means" in line 5. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

Claim Objections

3. Claim 5 is objected to because of the following informalities: in line 5, it appears that "drive claim" should be replaced with --drive chain--. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

5. Claims 2-7 are finally rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 2 recites the limitation "the cutterhead drum" in line 4. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 3 recites the limitation "said plurality of knives" in lines 4-5. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 7. Claim 3 is finally rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Sybertz et al. [4,085,494], hereinafter Sybertz.

Sybertz discloses in Figs 1-4, a cutting machine comprising: a drum 1 on a rotatable drive shaft 9 to be driven by a motor; a plurality of cutting blades 3 spaced around the perimeter of the drum, a knife extension apparatus for extending and retracking each of the plurality of knives relative to the periphery of the drum [col 4 lines]

Application/Control Number: 10/536,527 Page 4

Art Unit: 3725

9-47].

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 8. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 9. Claims 4 is finally rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sybertz.

The limitations of these claims would have been obvious modifications by one skilled in the art once the basic apparatus was known. For example to provide automatic means to replace manual activity is obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art.

Allowable Subject Matter

10. Claims 2 and 5-7 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 2-7 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

12. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP

Art Unit: 3725

§ 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

13. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Faye Francis whose telephone number is 571-272-4423. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Derris Banks can be reached on 571-272-4419. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3725

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Faye Francis/ Primary Examiner Art Unit 3725

FF